

Origins of Vitality Theory

Itesh Sachdev (PhD Psychology) Professor Emeritus of Language & Communication SOAS, University of London, UK

Plan

- Introduction what's missing..?
- · Language & identity video...
- Ethnolinguistic Vitality...definitions...
- Subjective Vitality Questionnaire (SVQ)
- Concluding Notes..



Concluding Remarks from before...

- (i) Attitude heirarchies are difficult to change
- (ii) Adopt multi-methodological approaches
- (iii) Attitudes and Identity linked...
- (iv) Identity Key for Language Maintenance & Revitalisation: focus on Self-Determination & Rejection of Imposed Categorizations.
- (v) Relationship between language, attitudes and group identity is not static..

Hmmmm...Whats missing in presentation and analyses so far...?



Concluding Remarks

- (i) Attitude heirarchies are difficult to change because of existing power and status of groups in society
- (ii) Attitudes and Identity linked... as a function of power and status differences between groups..
- (iii) Identity Key for Language Maintenance & Revitalisation: focus on Self-Determination & **Rejection of Imposed Categorizations... more** powerful minorities are better able to do this...ie contextualise in terms of the economic, social, political & environmental empowerment of minorities elationship between language, attitudes and roup identity is not static; it varies as a function of power and status differences.



Filling the sociostructual lacuna

- Power, status and other demographic variables... ie... sociostructual variables have clear effects on intergroup behaviour... (my PhD research also demonstrated with experimental approach - 'the role of power and status in "minimal" minority-majority relations').
- Giles, Bourhis & Taylor (1977) proposed the notion of 'ethnolinguistic vitality' to fill the sociostructural lacuna in analyses integroup relations.



Definition of Ethnolinguistic Vitality



That which..

..."makes a group likely to behave as a distinctive and active collective entity in intergroup situations." (Giles, Bourhis & Taylor 1977: 308).



<u>https://screenshots.firefox.com/9QD</u> 64FRxBGcFCZce/www.researchgate.ne t

Link to Bourhis et al (1981) original SVQ..



Factors underlying Ethnolinguistic Vitality (i) Demographic factors relateto:



-numbers: absolute, birthrate, mixed marriages, immigration, emigration

- distribution: concentration and proportion



International Languages with Official National Status*

Rect of Agent scored labors, into one right these on all of the of the score right these one all of the schedulings of algorithm to proprint the score of algorithm to proprint the score of algorithm to pro-



Perseparat Russes Holog (Indonesian Halepsia) Devela Mantaris Classes Persia Persia Darah Pancal (Pe

de la mai de la m

Fight?

Nucl. For exercise with newsline-real (Didd Integrated) mode may basi in degree. Outd out Detects) for mot with react of fore trapping a depict? (ii) Status factors relate to:

...group's "...economic wealth, social status, socio-historical prestige, status of group language" - Economic status, social status, sociohistorical status, language status inside and outside group.



(iii) Institutional Suppor and Control factors relate to:

. "...the extent to which language group enjoys formal and informal representation in the various institutions..."

- in mass media, education, government services, industry, religion, culture, politics...



- How can we measure ethnolinguistic vitality...?
- ... discussion in small groups ..?



<u>https://screenshots.firefox.com/9QD</u> 64FRxBGcFCZce/www.researchgate.ne t

Link to Bourhis et al (1981) original SVQ..



(i) 'Objective' and 'Subjective'

(ii) Measurement:

- Official statistics and data for 'objective'?

- Subjective Vitality Questionnaire (Bourhis et al 1981)...?







Examples of SVQ questions...



Status: How highly regarded are the following languages in Warsaw:-Polish not at all_:_:_:_:_extremely highly Punjabi not at all_:_:_:_:_extremely highly

How proud of their cultural history and achievements are the following groups in Warsaw?:-

> People of Polish descent Not at all__:__:__:__extremely People of Punjabi descent

> Not at all__:__:__:__extremely





Findings of early studies using SV (was Bourhis&Sachdev 1981 italian-canadian study the first empirical SVQ study, Martin?)

(i) Objective did not always match subjective - there were systematic biases (eg generational and other group differences)

(ii)SV was related systematically to language attitudes and self-reported use.. Amongst italian canadians, cantonese chinese in uk and canada..etc.

Then EXCITING research with the BEVQ - Allard and Landry...early 1990s..)

BEVQ: Beliefs about Ethnolinguistic Vitality are better predictors...not just "simple-one-shot" SVQ... beliefs in terms of general (as SVQ), + normative ("should be..") + personal beliefs + Goal beliefs..

Is BEVQ better at predicting language maintenance and other ethnolinguistic behaviours than SVQ..? ... on to Professor Martin Ehala...

